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Tenure Reform

A legal process changing the rights related to the use, management and ownership of natural resources

Not a revolution
Rationale for Reform

• Economics
• Morals
• Law
• Environment
• Peace

Political Opportunity
Complexities and Considerations

What is being reformed? Forest have multiple tenures:
  - Forest land tenure
  - Tree tenure
  - Sub-soil resource tenure

What laws? Forest, land, other?

Who has an interest?
  - Government and customary authorities
  - Citizens
  - Special interest groups: women’s associations, etc
  - Private sector
  - Right-holders
Complexities and Considerations

What is the objective of reform?

- Recognize rights gained through historic occupation?
- Realign production systems?
- Reflect reality?
- Change reality?
- Tenure security for the poor?

How to achieve tenure security?

- Effective internal institutions
- Legal recognition and support of rights
- Presence of independent judicial arbitration systems
- Effective regulatory mechanisms and institutions
- Supporting political constituency
What tenure system is most relevant for forests?

Forests have multiple users, patterns and social uses.
Customary and statutory interface – not just about codifying the customary
Overlapping claims
Seasonal uses and secondary rights often invisible
Accountability and power of customary authority structures

“Are we asking the right questions”
Design

• Process is key: Policy and lawmaking
• Reform implies political will
• Multiple sectors and institutions
• Plan for a long road – iterative, learning process
• Dialogue, consultations, and negotiation
Implementation

• Awareness raising of new or changed rights
• Training of service providers and judiciary
• Costs vary depending on precision, location, surface area (individual plots versus territories)
• Mobilization of non-governmental actors
• Contestation, clarification, negotiation are part of the process
Timeline of Mozambique tenure reform process

- Peace Agreement (1992)
- Elections (1994)
- Return process (1993-1994 onwards)
- Ad hoc Land Commission (1993)
- **Land policy (1995)**
- **Regulations to the Land Law (1998)**
- Community land registration (1999 onwards)
- Provincial support to land policy implementation (Zambézia, Nampula, Sofala)
- Cadastral reform and decentralisation (2000 onwards)
- Training judiciary (2001 onwards)
- Multi-donor Community Land Use Fund (development from 2003 onwards), MCC support to land administration from 2008.
- 10% of the land is registered
Some examples to learn from

- Tanzania: *Presumption of ownership*
- Mozambique/Angola: *Community territories under pressure*
- Brazil: *Rationalizing public domain*
- South Africa: *Customary authorities and power*
- China: *Choice*
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