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Growing Wood Demand

We expect the demand for virgin wood fiber to increase significantly in the future for 3 key reasons:

1. Rise in Asia’s wood fiber deficit;
2. Decline in the relative supply of recovered paper;
Asian Timber Deficit

- China’s timber deficit is currently over 160 million cubic meters (roundwood equivalent)
  - It will likely exceed 200 million cubic meters by 2015.
  - By comparison, Canada’s national harvest in 2010 was only 142 million cubic meters

- The biggest share is in the form of wood pulp, then logs and lumber.
- The timber deficit grew at ~17% compounded annual growth rate from 1997-2011, but slowed to 5-6% in 2012 due to the weak global economy.

[China’s Growing Timber Deficit, 1997 – 2012E]
China has begun the process of outward investment in forest resources, and this is expected to become a growing trend:

- CIC and Russia Forest Products
- Greenheart in New Zealand and Suriname
- China National Building Materials in New Zealand
- China Timber Resources Group in Guyana
- Sun Paper in Laos
- Several companies in Russia, e.g., China Yantai Northwest Forestry and Yangxin Eurasia Wood work
- China Timber (COFCO in Gabon)
- Zaozhuang Mining in western Canada (Resurgence)
- Sustainable Forest Holdings Ltd. In Brazil
Asia’s Timber Deficit

- India’s economic growth is much less “wood intensive” than is China’s.
- India’s economy in 2011 was the same size as China’s in 2002, but its timber deficit was only one-fifth the size of China’s.
- Going forward, we expect India’s timber deficit to grow significantly, but to remain much smaller than China’s.

**Timber Deficit in China and India**

![Graph showing timber deficit in China and India from 2002 to 2012E]
Virgin vs Recovered Paper

• Since 1990, the bulk of the growth in global paper & board production has been fueled by recovered fiber – not virgin wood fiber.

• The consumption of recovered paper (RCP) has increased ~140%, while virgin pulp consumption has increased by only ~15%.

• RCP now accounts for >50% of the fiber consumed by the global paper & board industry.

**Pulp and RCP Consumption in World Paper and Board Production**
Trade in Recovered Paper: 2011

Asia is driving the demand for recycled paper; ~50% of imports from USA, and ~30% from W. Europe.
Different grades of paper are seeing widely different growth rates, and this will have important effects on RCP demand and availability

- Fast-growing paper grades, such as tissue & packaging, will have historically used more RCP
- Newsprint and Printing & writing paper, the traditional sources of RCP, however, are actually declining in consumption – causing a decline in the supply of RCP.
All Printing and Writing Grades In Decline in North America & Europe

N. American Paper Demand

Data Source: PPPC

Source: ERA Forest Products Research
A global shortage is expected for recovered paper (RCP).

Expect an increase in the relative price of RCP, which will stimulate the demand for timber.

The impact will be greatest in Asia, where it is expected to accelerate the rise in Asia’s timber deficit.

The increase in demand will be greatest for pulp wood and sawmill & forest residues.
The Bio-Economy is Now Mainstream

△ Today, 30 of the Fortune 100 companies are invested in the production of biofuels and/or bio-based materials, or their distribution
   - BP, Shell, Valero, Dow, Dupont, Roquette, Coca-Cola...

△ The Bio-economy has many segments:
   - Bio-electricity
   - Bio-transportation fuels
   - Bio-chemicals
   - Bio-materials
# Bioenergy

## Investment

▲ Europe is the leader, accounting for ~1/3 of global investments in Biomass-based power

- EU expects to double biomass capacity by 2020 to ~26 GW (~$50 Billion)

▲ China is now targeting to increase biomass power from <4.5 GW in 2011 to 8 GW by 2015 and 18 GW by 2020

▲ If we believe the national targets around the world, there will be upward pressure on the price of biomass

▲ Despite the targets, global investments in energy plants using biomass (i.e., solids) have steadily declined since 2008. The decline is due to economic & policy uncertainty.

---


- Europe 33%
- China 20%
- United States 15%
- India 12%
- Brazil 6%
- Other ASOC 7%
- Other AMER 6%
- Other EMEA 1%

**Cumulative Growth in Biomass and Waste-to-Energy Asset Financing (US$)**

- 35%
- -6%
- 34%
- -8%
- -7%
- -18%
- -62%

- $8.36B
- $11.24B
- $10.62B
- $14.26B
- $13.17B
- $12.21B
- $10.02B
- $3.77B

Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance, CIBC World Markets Inc.
Bio-Energy Policy

▲ Most bio-energy investments would not be undertaken without some form of government support

▲ The EU, China and the U.S. are all providing support to their domestic bio-energy sectors

▲ However, due to increasingly tight government budget constraints, there is growing risk to direct financial support from governments

➢ “Let there be no doubt that the first overwhelming priority of the government has to be to get the deficit down” – Chris Hulne, Energy and Climate Change Secretary (U.K.), December 2010
Europe

▲ Binding targets for 2020:
- 20% reduction of CO₂ emissions
- 20% energy from renewable sources
- 20% improvement in energy efficiency
- 10% biofuels in transport

▲ Bio-energy is planned to play an unusually large role in meeting these targets.

▲ A rise of 90%-170% is expected over the next four years in biomass-based power capacity
  - Of the feedstock, roughly 85% is expected to come from forestry residues and sawdust

▲ If the target is met, it is estimated that the EU will have to import ~200 m³ of biomass by 2020
  - Roughly equal to China’s expected timber deficit.
China

△ The Chinese Government is now leading the world in supporting the Renewable Energy and Clean Technology sectors

- Over $40 Billion invested in 2010
- Number of national climate change policies in China is twice as large as that of the U.S. at the federal level
- Special focus on incentives and mandates, that are supported by investment and enabling legislation
- Stringent capacity requirements by sector, with clear interim targets
- Experimenting with regional/provincial carbon trading schemes

△ Bio-Energy in the 12th Five Year Plan:
- Target of 18 GW of biomass power by 2020
  - up from <4.5 GW in 2011
  - 2020 target recently reduced from 24 GW – Why?
Europe & Asia are the Centres for Biomass Demand

Global Biomass Shipping Routes

Note: Dotted cycles represent the major demand centres; the strength of the arrows is relative to their importance as trading routes.
Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance, IEA.
Case Study 1: Pellets, Suzano Renewable Energy

▲ Suzano is one of the largest eucalyptus pulp producers in the world, located in Brazil

➤ Currently making an investment of ~$550 million in bio-energy projects.

▲ Highlights:

➤ Based on ~120,000 ha of eucalyptus plantations in N.E. Brazil; close to ports
➤ Energy oriented clones (high lignin content/calorific value) – cannot be used to produce pulp
➤ Forest yield ~60-80 m³/ha/year (vs ~35-50 for pulp oriented clones)
➤ Harvest in 2-3 years (vs 7 years for pulp)

▲ Three-phase growth strategy

➤ Phase 1: Construct three 1 million tonne wood pellet plants (start-up 2014), with off-take agreements with European power utilities
➤ Phase 3: Commercialize biomass-to-liquids technology (date TBD)
Objective is to stimulate the production of “next-generation bio-fuels”

- Specific technological pathways must be approved by the EPA
- The feedstock must come from a renewable and sustainably managed resource
- Requires 21 billion gallons of advanced bio-fuels by 2022
  - Up from <1 bgal in 2012
  - 2022 target must include at least 16 bgal of advanced cellulosic bio-fuel

RFS2 creates a broad and sizable market in the U.S. for cellulosic fuels with numerous motivated potential customers, but there is still some policy uncertainty

Bi-Partisan support (Romney wants to maintain the RFS), but it may be modified regardless of who is President:

- Interim targets relaxed?
- Cellulosic and Advanced pools combined?

Top Refiners have significant purchase obligations

Source: U.S. EPA, Company Website, CIBC World Markets Inc.
Global Second-Generation Biofuel Investments

▲ Due to the global recession, investments in second-generation bio-fuels fell dramatically in 2010, and then rebounded to a record $2.5 billion in 2011. They contracted in H1 2012 due to economic and policy uncertainty.

▲ The U.S dominates the investments in this field, with the bulk being cellulose-based. (The large investments in Singapore & the Netherlands largely use palm oil as an input.)

▲ We expect the aggregate investment to significantly increase over the next 5-10 years, with most of the rise occurring in the United States and Brazil.


Cumulative Growth in Second-Generation Biofuels Asset Financing (US$)

Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance, CIBC.
A Number of Commercial Scale Bio-Fuel/Chemical Plants are Currently Being Constructed

- Expect new information over the next 12-18 months as these plants start production – we can learn a lot if we pay attention. Possible construction of 27 bio-refineries in N. America by 2015 – producing 1.6-2.6 billion gallons

**Total Advanced Biofuels Capacity in N. America (2013) of ~700 M Litres Per Annum**

- **June 2012**
  - Gevo Luverne
    - 68mlpa
- **May 2012**
  - POET Emmetsburg
    - 94mlpa
- **June 2006**
  - Ensyn Renfrew
    - 21mlpa
- **July 2012**
  - Ineos Vero Beach
    - 30mlpa
- **December 2012**
  - Chemrec
    - Ornskoldsvik
    - 16mlpa
- **Late 2012**
  - Rentech Rialto
    - 37mlpa
- **H2 2012**
  - KiOR Columbus
    - 41.6mlpa
- **January 2013**
  - H2 2013
    - Fulcrum Sierrre
    - 39.7mlpa
- **September 2013**
  - Abengoa Hugoton
    - 87mlpa
  - Enerkem Edmonton
    - 36mlpa
  - Coskata Bolige Phase 1
    - 60mlpa
  - Gruppo M&G Crescentino
    - 50.7mlpa
  - Abengoa Hugoton
    - 87mlpa
  - Ensyn Renfrew
    - 21mlpa
QUESTIONS

1. What is the view of our Asian colleagues on the Asian timber deficit in the future?

2. Is the growth of bioenergy a major issue in your country? If so, what are you doing about it?
Appendix
Case Study 2: Renewable Fuel Oil (Ensyn)

- Ensyn’s Fast-Pyrolysis process is the only commercially operating biomass to liquid fuels technology

**Red Arrow Products Company**
- Commercialized in 1989
- Four operating facilities
- Food ingredients and liquid fuels market

**Ivanhoe Energy**
- Commercialized in 2004
- 1,000 BDTPD
- Heavy oil facility
- Petroleum upgrading

**Renfrew Facility**
- Commercialized in 2007
- 100 BDTPD
- Renewable fuels

Over 100 million liters (30 million gallons) of Renewable Fuel Oil (RFO) produced to date
**Overview of Value Chain for Ensyn’s Renewable Fuel Oil (RFO)**

- Benchmark plant consumes 400 ODMT of biomass, and produces 850 BOE per day (23 million gallons/year of RFO)
- Capital cost is $60-$100 million, depending on existing infrastructure & location
Joint venture between Ensyn and Honeywell has resulted in a significant reduction in costs

Given biomass price of $50/ODMT, the cash cost of RFO is now <$50/barrel of oil equivalent

Pre-tax unlevered IRR of 18% in base case (assumes no values for environmental attributes like RINS)

**Cost of Producing RFO Under Alternative Biomass Costs ($/Barrel of Oil Equivalent)***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedstock Cost (USD / ODMT)</th>
<th>Total cost (including 10% return on capital) of $84/barrel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Source: Management.
Established Network of Strategic Partners, JV Partners & Investors is Key

▲ In October 2012, Ensyn & Fibria Celulose established a strategic alliance

➢ 50/50 JV for future investments in the production of cellulosic liquid fuels and chemicals in Brazil
➢ Fibria made a $20 million equity investment in Ensyn
➢ Fibria wants 25% of its revenue from bio-energy by 2025
More Years of Declining Demand in N. America and Europe Before Stability

Annual Percent Change in Demand Due to E-media Substitution in North America

We are in the worst of it now

But it will be bad for another 15+ years

It will get worse for 3 – 4 years ...

... then the decline will slow down

Source: Systems Thinking Europe, Oy
By 2020, wood pellet demand is expected to be in the range of 20.3 to 31.2 million ODMT.

Recent forecasts have been revised sharply upward since 2011 – last year, the forecasted range was 9.1 million to 21.6 million by 2020.

**EU-27 Pellet Demand Forecast, 2008–20 (million ODMT)**

- **Pellet demand forecasted to rise from roughly 12 million ODMT today to between 15.5 million and 17 million ODMT by 2016.**

Note: Dashed lines represent BNEF’s earlier forecast from March 2011. Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance.
Since mid-2009

- Natural Gas is the lowest cost source. On-shore Wind isn’t that much higher, but it is intermittent.
- Solar PV & On-shore Wind power costs have fallen ~50%, but bio-electricity has not.
- The relative cost of bio-electricity will likely continue to deteriorate going forward. Should the forest sector be focusing on producing other forms of bio-energy like cellulosic biofuels?

*The LCOE reflects the costs of development, financing, construction, maintenance and operation.
Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance, CIBC.
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